



Meeting Minutes
BSD – Sehome High School Advisory Committee
Meeting No.6
March 15, 2016

Members Present:

Allen, Jeff	Jewitt, Tim
Anderson, Mike	Keck, Kacy
Brawley, Jacqueline	Knops, Gail
Clarke, Steve	Kuss-Cybula, Michelle
Cowan, Ron	Peterson, Mark
Criez, Kevin	Schubeck, Grace
Cushman, Colin	Smith, Dana
Denton, Julie	Stinson, Jonah
Dominguez, Kristi	Tetrick, Jeff
Gazow, Kurt	Trulock, Oliver
Ham, Zach	Williams, Rachel
Hankinson, Amy	Zender, Martha
Hasenjaeger, Kathy	

Members Absent:

Ashby, Melissa
Dalton, Mark
Johnson, Kevin
Schenck, Corrine
Lawyer, Curtis
Snyder, Craig

Steve Clarke welcomed the group. Meeting minutes from the March 1st meeting were provided to the committee prior to the meeting. The minutes were approved as written.

Tim Jewett reviewed changes that were made to the Guiding Principles from committee suggestions at the last meeting, sharing Guiding Principles Version 1.4, with edits to Indicators 3.11 and 6.6. Gail Knops questioned Indicator 3.10, "Healthy food is celebrated". Zach Hamm explained it is intended to indicate celebrating the expression and interaction of the cafeteria spaces. The event of going through the lunch is celebrated. Michelle Kuss-Cybulla suggested Mark Dalton, Director of Food Service, look at this Indicator. Zach offered we could add 'to educate' and celebrate, adding in the future there may be more to that should Sehome adopt a culinary arts program.

Zach then reviewed the site diagramming exercise, explaining that we went over 3 schemes, with the group providing pro and con feedback. He shared a compiled list of the pros and cons for each scheme, which resulted in the development of Schemes 4 and 5. Scheme 4 was developed from the original Scheme 1 and Scheme 5 was developed from original Schemes 2 and 3.

Amy Hankinson questioned if the committee was in agreement that there would no longer be outside walkways. Zach responded that there will be limited outdoor walkways. Ron Cowan added that improving safety and security was an important issue with both the Facility Planning Task Force and something we told the community we would address as part of the Sehome portion of the Bond. Ron estimated there to be at least 80 exterior doors at Sehome, (actual number over 100). Jeff Allen, Sehome head custodian, added he unlocks 47 doors each school morning. Michelle commented that students have said they want access to outdoor spaces, but they don't want to have to walk a long way to do it.

Dana Smith pointed out that in both Schemes 4 and 5, the Central Kitchen has moved off site. Tim said that while the location changed, it is still on site and will be a completely separate facility. Ron stated that the Central Kitchen is going to be an industrial preparation facility, and providing a way to make it separate makes sense due to noise, truck traffic, etc.

There are a number of constraints that will need to be addressed very quickly. With the General Contractor/Construction Manager (GC/CM), coming on board, we will look at phasing, topography, utilities, etc. that end up playing a large part of shaping the building. The committee process hasn't really taken those constraints into consideration; which is okay. We go through this process as it is essential to know what is important to staff, students and the community without those constraints. Zach thanked the group for their contributions, stating it was a huge help to the Dykeman team.

Tim went over comments that he and Zach recorded from the committee when going through a consultancy protocol process to assist in 'solving the dilemma' of the new building being over in square footage. The target square footage goal is 175,631 with the program shared at the last meeting at 193,311 square feet. Tim reviewed a revised Draft Numeric program with edits from the information they garnered from the consultancy protocol, reducing the square footage of the building to 184,949, leaving an overage of 9,318 square feet.

Ron reminded the committee that we would try to solve this dilemma with two lenses. The committee was to suggest ways to reduce square footage, and Ron was going back to review if contingency funds could be added to the budget to provide additional square footage. Ron said 8,000 square feet could be added to the program by using a portion of the scope contingency leaving an overage of about 1,300 square feet. A contingency is built in, but we don't want to use too much of it too soon. Once construction begins, other things will come up. Ron has hopes that we can get the square footage down a little more. Tim added we've done a lot of good work to get here, but need to get a little further.

Michelle had a brief discussion about the committee's thoughts on lockers, sharing an article "New High Schools: Hip, High-tech and Collaborative", in *Finance and Commerce*. Members broke into pairs, reviewing the article, and then shared one thing they agreed about the article, and one item they wondered about. Tim said they will review the comments they heard to help address the possibilities of lockers at Sehome and will revisit the topic of lockers at a future meeting.

The committee then broke into four groups to participate in another adjacency diagramming exercise. The team explored possibilities for general classroom arrangement at Sehome that maximized teaching and learning. The goal was to explore options and define adjacencies and relationships.

Tim complimented the groups as he noted they were thinking very carefully how art, math, computer class, and the sciences relate to each other, noting the lab spaces have more specific requirements, but the other classrooms are more flexible.

Ron updated the group about the contractor selection sharing their interview team had interviewed two finalists and will be making a recommendation to the Board next week. The contractor will probably be joining the next meeting. We will have them review our work; discuss phasing and other constraints, etc. Tim said it is important that this is happening at this point in time, as it's easier to make adjustments to the design now.

Ron stated that our meetings will be scheduled at least through May 3rd but believes we may have meetings extending beyond that date.

The next meeting is scheduled for Tuesday, March 29th where Tim will review and refine the proposed adjacency diagramming concept schemes and siting schemes.

Meeting adjourned: 4:45p.m.

