






Ends Monitoring Report 2.1, Part 1 - Data Table of Contents


	Page
1) Proficiency Rates for States in the Smarter Balanced Assessment Consortium	1
 <p>Tables of 2015 and 2016 proficiency rates in English language arts and math in Smarter Balanced Assessment Consortium (SBAC) states</p>	


2) Ranked Percentiles of Proficiency on State Exams for All Comparable Districts	2
 <p>Percentile rankings based on 2016 proficiency rates in English language arts and math on state exams in our fifty comparable districts</p>	

3) Proficiency and Growth Percentiles with Low Income for All Comparable Districts	4
 <p>Bubble plot of 2016 English language arts and math data, showing proficiency rates versus student growth percentiles with marker size factored by each districts' free/reduced meal participation. Fifty districts are shown, with highlights for the state and our high-performing comparable districts.</p>	

4) Proficiency and Growth Percentiles with Low Income for Close Comparable Districts	5
 <p>Bar chart of 2016 English language arts and math proficiency on state exams for the state and districts close to Bellingham by our low income measure. The percent participation in free/reduced meal programs is included in the data.</p>	

5) Percent Meeting Standard on State Exams for Select Comparable Districts	6
 <p>Percent of students <i>meeting</i> standard on the 2015 and 2016 English language arts, math and science state exams in four districts and the state. Graphs include these student groups: All, Hispanic, English language learners, students with IEPs, and students participating in the free/reduced meal program.</p>	

6) Percent Exceeding Standard on State Exams for Select Comparable Districts	11
 <p>Percent of students <i>exceeding</i> standard on the 2015 and 2016 English language arts, math and science state exams in four districts and the state. Graphs include these student groups: All, Hispanic, English language learners, students with IEPs, and students participating in the free/reduced meal program.</p>	

7) Achievement Gaps on State Exams for Select Comparable Districts	16
 <p>Differences in proficiency rates for student population subgroups and their counterpart group on the 2015 and 2016 English language arts, math and science state exams. Data show gaps for students participating in free/reduced meal program and not; students with IEPs and without IEPs, as well as the difference between Hispanic and White student proficiency.</p>	

1) Proficiency Rates for States in the Smarter Balanced Assessment Consortium


ELA Smarter Balanced Proficiency Rates by State and Grade

Grade 3			Grade 4			Grade 5			Grade 6			Grade 7			Grade 8		
	2015	2016		2015	2016		2015	2016		2015	2016		2015	2016		2015	2016
Missouri	57	61	Missouri	59	63	New Hampshire	63	63	New Hampshire	57	59	New Hampshire	62	62	New Hampshire	58	62
New Hampshire	55	56	New Hampshire	56	57	Missouri	59	62	Missouri	55	58	Washington	58	59	Washington	57	60
Connecticut	51	54	Washington	55	57	Delaware	55	60	Washington	54	57	Missouri	57	58	Missouri	58	59
Delaware	54	54	Connecticut	53	56	Connecticut	56	59	Connecticut	52	55	Vermont	55	57	Vermont	52	58
Washington	53	54	Delaware	54	56	Oregon	54	57	Vermont	53	55	Oregon	56	56	Oregon	57	57
Vermont	51	53	Vermont	50	52	Vermont	56	57	Oregon	53	53	Connecticut	52	55	Connecticut	51	56
North Dakota	49	50	Hawaii	48	50	Washington	58	57	Delaware	48	52	Delaware	50	53	Delaware	49	54
South Dakota	49	50	Idaho	46	50	Hawaii	54	56	Hawaii	47	52	Idaho	51	53	Idaho	52	54
Hawaii	46	49	North Dakota	45	50	Idaho	52	54	Idaho	49	51	South Dakota	49	52	South Dakota	48	52
Idaho	48	49	Oregon	49	50	West Virginia	51	51	North Dakota	45	51	North Dakota	49	50	Hawaii	47	49
Montana	48	49	South Dakota	45	50	North Dakota	49	50	South Dakota	45	51	California	44	48	North Dakota	48	49
West Virginia	46	48	West Virginia	45	48	South Dakota	49	50	California	43	48	West Virginia	45	48	California	45	48
Oregon	46	47	California	40	44	California	44	49	West Virginia	43	46	Hawaii	44	47	West Virginia	43	47
California	38	43	Montana	40	44	Montana	38	37	Montana	36	39	Montana	38	41	Montana	34	36


Math Smarter Balanced Proficiency Rates by State and Grade

Grade 3			Grade 4			Grade 5			Grade 6			Grade 7			Grade 8		
	2015	2016		2015	2016		2015	2016		2015	2016		2015	2016		2015	2016
Washington	57	59	Washington	54	55	Montana	49	49	Montana	43	52	Montana	44	52	Montana	42	51
New Hampshire	53	57	Missouri	50	53	Washington	48	49	Washington	46	48	New Hampshire	50	52	Washington	46	48
Delaware	53	55	Delaware	47	51	New Hampshire	45	48	New Hampshire	46	47	Washington	48	50	New Hampshire	44	47
Vermont	51	55	New Hampshire	49	51	Missouri	40	46	Missouri	38	43	Vermont	42	45	Vermont	39	43
Hawaii	50	54	Vermont	45	49	Delaware	38	42	Connecticut	37	41	Oregon	43	44	Oregon	43	42
South Dakota	51	54	Connecticut	44	48	Hawaii	42	42	South Dakota	34	41	Missouri	35	43	South Dakota	39	42
Connecticut	48	53	Montana	41	48	Vermont	42	42	Hawaii	38	40	Connecticut	39	42	Connecticut	37	40
Idaho	50	52	South Dakota	45	48	Connecticut	37	41	Idaho	37	40	Idaho	38	42	Missouri	28	40
Missouri	52	52	Hawaii	46	47	Idaho	38	40	Vermont	36	40	South Dakota	39	42	Idaho	37	39
North Dakota	51	51	Idaho	43	47	Oregon	41	40	North Dakota	35	39	Delaware	37	40	Delaware	35	38
West Virginia	44	50	North Dakota	46	46	South Dakota	37	38	Oregon	38	39	North Dakota	39	40	Hawaii	39	38
Montana	42	48	Oregon	44	44	North Dakota	37	37	Delaware	34	37	Hawaii	38	37	California	33	36
Oregon	46	48	West Virginia	35	40	California	30	33	California	33	35	California	34	36	North Dakota	39	36
California	40	46	California	35	38	West Virginia	30	33	West Virginia	26	29	West Virginia	25	30	West Virginia	25	27


2) Ranked Percentiles of Proficiency on State Exams for All Comparable Districts
 2016 State English Language Arts Exams - Percent Meeting Standard in Grades 3-8




Ranked Percentiles of Proficiency on State Exams for All Comparable Districts 2016 State Math Exams - Percent Meeting Standard in Grades 3-8




3) Proficiency and Growth Percentiles for All Comparable Districts
 2016 English Language Arts Data with District Free/Reduce Meal Participation




Proficiency and Growth Percentiles for All Comparable Districts
 2016 Mathematics Data with District Free/Reduce Meal Participation



4) Proficiency and Growth Percentiles with Low Income for Close Comparable Districts
2016 English Language Arts for Grades 3-8





Proficiency and Growth Percentiles with Low Income for Close Comparable Districts
2016 Mathematics for Grades 3-8



5) Percent Meeting Standard on State Exams for Select Comparable Districts


All Students Proficient in Grades 3-5

All Students Proficient in Grades 6-8




5) Percent Meeting Standard on State Exams for Select Comparable Districts

Hispanic Students Proficient in Grades 3-5





Hispanic Students Proficient in Grades 6-8



5) Percent Meeting Standard on State Exams for Select Comparable Districts


ELL Students Proficient in Grades 3-5

ELL Students Proficient in Grades 6-8




5) Percent Meeting Standard on State Exams for Select Comparable Districts

Students with IEP Proficient in Grades 3-5




Students with IEP Proficient in Grades 6-8




5) Percent Meeting Standard on State Exams for Select Comparable Districts

Low Income Students Proficient in Grades 3-5





Low Income Students Proficient in Grades 6-8



6) Percent Exceeding Standard on State Exams for Select Comparable Districts


All Students Exceeding in Grades 3-5

All Students Exceeding in Grades 6-8




6) Percent Exceeding Standard on State Exams for Select Comparable Districts

Hispanic Students Exceeding in Grades 3-5




Hispanic Students Exceeding in Grades 6-8




6) Percent Exceeding Standard on State Exams for Select Comparable Districts

ELL Students Exceeding in Grades 3-5





ELL Students Exceeding in Grades 6-8



6) Percent Exceeding Standard on State Exams for Select Comparable Districts

Students with IEP Exceeding in Grades 3-5



Students with IEP Exceeding in Grades 6-8



6) Percent Exceeding Standard on State Exams for Select Comparable Districts

Low Income Students Exceeding in Grades 3-5



Low Income Students Exceeding in Grades 6-8



7) Achievement Gaps on State Exams for Select Comparable Districts

Grades 3-5 Proficiency Gaps by Income



Grades 6-8 Proficiency Gaps by Income



7) Achievement Gaps on State Exams for Select Comparable Districts

Grades 3-5 Proficiency Gaps by Special Education

Grades 6-8 Proficiency Gaps by Special Education



7) Achievement Gaps on State Exams for Select Comparable Districts

Grades 3-5 Proficiency Gaps by Race/Ethnicity

Grades 6-8 Proficiency Gaps by Race/Ethnicity

