










Ends Monitoring Report 2.1, Part 1 - Data Table of Contents

		Page
<p>A) Proficiency Rates for States in the Smarter Balanced Assessment Consortium</p> 	<p>Tables of proficiency rates in English language arts and math for Smarter Balanced Assessment Consortium (SBAC) states from 2015 through 2017.</p>	1
<p>B) Ranked Percentiles of Proficiency on State Exams for All Comparable Districts</p> 	<p>Percentile rankings based on 2017 state test proficiency rates in English language arts and math for our larger set of comparable districts based on demographics.</p>	2
<p>C) Proficiency on State Exams for Comparable Districts based on Free/Reduced Meal Participation</p> 	<p>English language arts and math proficiency on 2017 state tests for districts within five percentage points of Bellingham's low income measure. Graphs are sorted by participation in free/reduced meal programs and by proficiency on state exams.</p>	4
<p>D) Percent Meeting Standard on State Exams for Select Comparable Districts</p> 	<p>Percent of students <i>meeting</i> standard on state exams in English language arts, math and science in four districts and the state, from 2015 through 2017. Graphs include these student groups: All, Hispanic, English language learners, students with IEPs, and participation in the free/reduced meal program.</p>	6
<p>E) Percent Exceeding Standard on State Exams for Select Comparable Districts</p> 	<p>Percent of students <i>exceeding</i> standard on state exams in English language arts, math and science in four districts and the state, from 2015 through 2017. Graphs include student subgroups noted above.</p>	11
<p>F) Math Report Card Marks and Smarter Balanced Scores for Grade 3</p> 	<p>Study of the average math report card marks for Grade 3 students in Bellingham, alongside the average Smarter Balanced math scores. Comparisons of Hispanic and White students, and by participation in the free/reduced price meal program.</p>	16
<p>G) Percent Meeting Standard on High School State Exams for Select Comparable Districts</p> 	<p>Percent of students meeting standard on high school state exams in English language arts and science in four districts and the state in 2016 and 2017. Graphs include these student groups: All, Hispanic and participation in the free/reduced</p>	17
<p>H) Proficiency and Growth Percentiles for All Comparable Districts in 2017</p> 	<p>Bubble plot of 2017 English language arts and math data, showing proficiency rates versus student growth percentiles with marker size factored by each districts' free/reduced price meal program participation.</p>	18
<p>I) Achievement Gaps on State Exams for Select Comparable Districts</p> 	<p>Achievement gaps for subgroups on state English language arts, math and science exams from 2015 to 2017. Bars show difference in proficiency rates for students participating in free/reduced meal program and not; students with and without IEPs, and for Hispanic and White students. Striped bar indicates the percent of students in each district for the underperforming subgroup.</p>	19

A) Proficiency Rates for States in the Smarter Balanced Assessment Consortium


English Language Arts Smarter Balanced Proficiency Ranked by 2017 Rates

	Grade 3			Grade 4			Grade 5			Grade 6			Grade 7			Grade 8							
	2015	2016	2017	2015	2016	2017	2015	2016	2017	2015	2016	2017	2015	2016	2017	2015	2016	2017					
New Hampshire	55	56	54	New Hampshire	56	57	56	New Hampshire	63	63	61	Bellingham	68	65	62	Bellingham	71	70	70	Bellingham	70	73	66
Washington	52	54	53	Washington	55	57	55	Bellingham	68	67	60	New Hampshire	57	59	57	New Hampshire	62	62	63	Washington	57	60	59
Connecticut	51	54	52	Bellingham	63	62	55	Delaware	55	60	60	Washington	54	57	56	Washington	57	59	60	New Hampshire	58	62	58
Delaware	54	54	52	Delaware	54	56	54	Washington	58	60	59	Connecticut	52	55	54	Oregon	56	56	56	Vermont	52	58	55
Montana	48	49	49	Connecticut	53	56	54	Connecticut	56	59	56	Vermont	53	55	52	Vermont	55	57	55	Oregon	57	57	55
Bellingham	57	56	49	Montana	40	44	50	Vermont	56	57	55	Delaware	48	52	52	Connecticut	52	55	55	Connecticut	51	56	54
Vermont	51	53	49	South Dakota	45	50	49	Hawaii	54	56	54	Oregon	53	53	52	Delaware	50	53	54	Delaware	49	54	53
Hawaii	46	49	49	Vermont	50	52	49	Montana	38	37	54	Idaho	49	51	51	Idaho	51	53	54	Idaho	52	54	52
South Dakota	49	50	48	Hawaii	48	50	49	Idaho	52	54	54	North Carolina	49	50	50	South Dakota	49	52	53	South Dakota	48	52	49
Idaho	48	49	47	Idaho	46	50	48	Oregon	54	57	53	Hawaii	47	52	50	Montana	38	41	53	California	45	48	49
North Carolina	47	48	46	Oregon	49	50	48	Michigan	49	51	51	South Dakota	45	51	49	Hawaii	44	47	50	Montana	34	36	48
Oregon	46	47	45	West Virginia	45	48	47	South Dakota	49	50	51	Montana	36	39	49	California	44	48	49	Michigan	48	49	48
West Virginia	46	48	45	Nevada	NA	47	46	Nevada	NA	51	50	California	43	48	47	North Carolina	47	47	49	Hawaii	47	49	48
Nevada	NA	46	45	California	40	44	45	West Virginia	51	51	49	West Virginia	43	46	45	West Virginia	45	48	48	Nevada	NA	47	46
Michigan	50	46	44	Michigan	47	46	44	California	44	49	47	Michigan	45	45	44	Nevada	NA	47	47	West Virginia	44	47	45
California	38	43	44	North Carolina	47	46	44	North Carolina	42	43	43	Nevada	NA	42	43	Michigan	49	47	45	North Carolina	42	42	42


Math Smarter Balanced Proficiency Ranked by 2017 Rates

	Grade 3			Grade 4			Grade 5			Grade 6			Grade 7			Grade 8							
	2015	2016	2017	2015	2016	2017	2015	2016	2017	2015	2016	2017	2015	2016	2017	2015	2016	2017					
Washington	57	59	58	Bellingham	64	61	59	North Carolina	51	54	54	Bellingham	53	52	49	Bellingham	62	60	59	Bellingham	61	61	53
New Hampshire	53	57	55	Washington	54	55	54	Bellingham	62	56	49	Washington	46	48	48	New Hampshire	50	52	50	Washington	46	48	48
Bellingham	62	57	54	New Hampshire	49	51	51	Washington	48	49	49	New Hampshire	46	47	47	Washington	48	50	50	New Hampshire	44	47	45
South Dakota	51	54	54	North Carolina	49	51	51	New Hampshire	45	48	46	North Carolina	41	44	45	South Dakota	39	42	45	South Dakota	39	42	42
Delaware	53	55	53	Delaware	47	51	50	Delaware	38	42	44	Connecticut	37	41	44	Vermont	42	45	44	Connecticut	37	40	42
Hawaii	50	54	53	South Dakota	45	48	50	Hawaii	42	42	43	South Dakota	34	41	42	North Carolina	40	42	43	Vermont	39	43	41
Connecticut	48	53	53	Connecticut	44	48	50	Connecticut	37	41	43	Hawaii	38	40	42	Connecticut	39	42	43	Oregon	43	42	41
Vermont	51	55	52	Hawaii	46	47	49	Vermont	42	42	42	Delaware	34	37	41	Oregon	43	44	42	North Carolina	36	39	40
North Carolina	49	52	52	Vermont	45	49	47	South Dakota	37	38	42	Idaho	37	40	40	Idaho	38	42	42	Idaho	37	39	39
Idaho	50	52	50	Idaho	43	47	47	Idaho	38	40	42	Oregon	38	39	40	Delaware	37	40	41	Delaware	35	38	38
West Virginia	44	49	48	Montana	41	48	45	Montana	49	49	40	Vermont	36	40	39	Montana	44	52	40	Hawaii	39	38	38
Montana	42	48	48	Oregon	44	44	43	Oregon	41	40	39	Montana	43	52	38	Hawaii	38	37	37	California	33	36	36
Nevada	NA	45	48	West Virginia	36	40	43	Michigan	33	34	35	California	33	35	36	Michigan	34	36	37	Montana	42	51	36
California	40	46	47	Michigan	41	44	42	West Virginia	30	33	34	Michigan	33	33	34	Michigan	33	35	36	Michigan	32	33	34
Michigan	49	45	47	Nevada	NA	38	41	California	30	33	34	West Virginia	26	29	32	West Virginia	26	30	31	West Virginia	25	27	29
Oregon	46	48	46	California	35	38	40	Nevada	NA	32	34	Nevada	NA	30	30	Nevada	NA	29	29	Nevada	NA	18	18

B) Ranked Percentiles of Proficiency on State Exams for All Comparable Districts
 2017 State English Language Arts Exams - Percent Meeting Standard in Grades 3-8




Ranked Percentiles of Proficiency on State Exams for All Comparable Districts
 2017 State Math Exams - Percent Meeting Standard in Grades 3-8




C) Proficiency on State Exams for Districts of Comparable Free/Reduced Price Meal Participation

2017 English Language Arts for Grades 3-8 Ranked by Low Income



Districts with Free/Reduced Meal Participation +/- 5 % of Bellingham


2017 Mathematics for Grades 3-8 Ranked by Low Income




Districts with Free/Reduced Meal Participation +/- 5 % of Bellingham

C) Proficiency on State Exams for Districts of Comparable Free/Reduced Price Meal Participation

2017 English Language Arts for Grades 3-8 Ranked by Proficiency





2017 Mathematics for Grades 3-8 Ranked by Proficiency



D) Percent Meeting Standard on State Exams for Select Comparable Districts


All Students Proficient in Grades 3-5

All Students Proficient in Grades 6-8




D) Percent Meeting Standard on State Exams for Select Comparable Districts

Hispanic Students Proficient in Grades 3-5





Hispanic Students Proficient in Grades 6-8



D) Percent Meeting Standard on State Exams for Select Comparable Districts


ELL Students Proficient in Grades 3-5

ELL Students Proficient in Grades 6-8




D) Percent Meeting Standard on State Exams for Select Comparable Districts

Students with IEP Proficient in Grades 3-5





Students with IEP Proficient in Grades 6-8



D) Percent Meeting Standard on State Exams for Select Comparable Districts

Low Income Students Proficient in Grades 3-5



Low Income Students Proficient in Grades 6-8



E) Percent Exceeding Standard on State Exams for Select Comparable Districts


All Students Exceeding in Grades 3-5

All Students Exceeding in Grades 6-8




E) Percent Exceeding Standard on State Exams for Select Comparable Districts

Hispanic Students Exceeding in Grades 3-5





Hispanic Students Exceeding in Grades 6-8



E) Percent Exceeding Standard on State Exams for Select Comparable Districts


ELL Students Exceeding in Grades 3-5

ELL Students Exceeding in Grades 6-8




E) Percent Exceeding Standard on State Exams for Select Comparable Districts

Students with IEP Exceeding in Grades 3-5





Students with IEP Exceeding in Grades 6-8



E) Percent Exceeding Standard on State Exams for Select Comparable Districts


Low Income Students Exceeding in Grades 3-5

Low Income Students Exceeding in Grades 6-8




F) Math Report Card Marks and Smarter Balanced Scores for Grade 3 in 2017

Comparison by Free/Reduced Price Meal Participation




Comparison of Hispanic Students and White Students




G) Percent Meeting Standard on High School State Exams for Select Comparable Districts



Biology Grade 10 Proficiency



English Language Arts Grade 11 Proficiency





H) Proficiency and Growth Percentiles for Comparable Districts in 2017



I) Achievement Gaps on State Exams for Select Comparable Districts

Grades 3-5 Difference by Income



Grades 6-8 Difference by Income



I) Achievement Gaps on State Exams for Select Comparable Districts

Grades 3-5 Difference by Special Education

Grades 6-8 Difference by Special Education



I) Achievement Gaps on State Exams for Select Comparable Districts

Grades 3-5 Difference by Race/Ethnicity

Grades 6-8 Difference by Race/Ethnicity

