



Instructional Materials Committee

Monday, May 22, 2017
 Central Services, Room 212
 4:00 – 6:00 pm

Attendees:

- | | |
|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Amy McAuliffe, Primary, Carl Cozier | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Kristin Cleary, Elementary LMS |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Primary | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Nicky Cook-Desler, Middle LMS |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Arionda, Feeney, Intermediate, Wade King | <input type="checkbox"/> Tracy Shaw, High LMS |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Meredith Attar, Intermediate, Roosevelt | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ryland Huff, School Administrator, BHS |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Melissa Cornelsen, Middle School, Fairhaven | <input type="checkbox"/> Julie Batten, School Administrator, Columbia |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Middle School | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Maryann Kuhn, Parent |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Lynn Carroll, High School, Bellingham | <input type="checkbox"/> Parent |
| <input type="checkbox"/> High School, | <input type="checkbox"/> Parent |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Charisse Berner, Chair, Director of Teaching & Learning | |

Topic	Discussion	Decision
1. Welcome	Charisse shared an update on recruiting new members to complete the committee.	No decision, but consider revisiting the idea of a quorum at a future meeting.
2. Update on New High School Courses	<p>Non-fiction – DTL directors are working with the high schools on the new courses for 2017-18. The IMC forms require that teachers address the text complexity and rationale for recommending a resource. And the materials must be reviewed for bias.</p> <p>We will need another meeting in June to review the recommendations that are ready. We looked at the Fiction and Non-fiction form and decided to leave the forms as they are.</p> <p>Last time the committee looked briefly at the bias section of the form. Wondering if we need to be more specific for the bias section? Committee looked at the OSPI basis form. After looking at the OSPI form the consensus of the group was that our form is fine.</p>	No decision
Next Meeting	No materials were reviewed today. We will have forms and people here for the next meeting on June 12.	

Topic	Discussion	Decision
1. Welcome	Little background on where we are –	
2.	<p>Non-fiction – DTL directors are working with the high schools for the new courses. Text complexity and rational – want teachers to focus on. Teachers are having trouble with both the text complexity and rational. We will need a meeting in June. We should have a number of items by June. Would like your thoughts on a couple of things. Thinking out of the box, have some items that don't really fit into textbooks. Should we have another version for technical items. Teacher support, questions 3-6 are a little tricky. Number 3 is valid. Number 4 is tricky. Challenge is that teachers feel everything is the left side of the form. Maybe teachers just need to work through it. Teachers are not use to a thoughtful evaluation for many years. Maybe the not applicable box would be checked. IMC should be the final approval. The grappling in most instances would have already been discussed. IMC has the larger community thinking and connecting the whole. Would you be comfortable with number 4 not being answered. Committee decided to leave the form as is.</p> <p>Last time the committee looked briefly at the bias section of the form. Wondering if we need to be more specific for the bias section? Committee looked at the OSPI basis form. After looking at the OSPI form the consensus of the group</p>	
3.	June 12 th next meeting. We will have forms and people here for the next meeting.	