

# Ends Monitoring Report 2.1, Part 1 - Data Table of Contents

Page

## A) Proficiency Rates for States in the Smarter Balanced Assessment Consortium


1

	Grade 5			Grade 6		
	2016	2017	2018	2016	2017	2018
Washington	89	89	88	87	87	87
Indiana	NA	NA	NA	48	48	47
Connecticut	41	43	47	44	NA	46
Idaho	40	42	45	41	44	45
Delaware	42	44	43	44	Bellingham	52
Mass	42	43	44	44	44	43
New Hampshire	48	46	45	44	44	43
Bellingham	56	59	62	62	62	61
South Dakota	38	42	50	41	40	39
Montana	49	40	40	40	38	39
California	31	34	36	38	37	41

Tables of proficiency rates in English language arts and math for Smarter Balanced Assessment Consortium (SBAC) states from 2015 through 2019.

## B) Proficiency on State Tests for All Comparable Districts


2



Proficiency rates on 2019 English language arts, math and science state tests for Bellingham, the state, and our large set of comparable districts

## C) Proficiency Rates by Class Cohort on State Tests


5



Proficiency rates on English language arts and math state tests by student cohort. Graphs are grouped by graduating class year, then Bellingham district rates compared to the state.

## D) Proficiency on State Tests for Comparable Districts based on Free/Reduced Meal Participation


6



Proficiency rates on 2019 English language arts and math state tests for the state and districts close to Bellingham by low income measure. Graphs are sorted by free/reduced priced meal rates and by state test proficiency rates.

## E) Percent Meeting Standard on State Tests for High-Bar Comparable Districts


8



Proficiency rates on English language arts and math, 2015 to 2019, and science, 2018 to 2019. Student groups include All, Hispanic, English language learners, students with disabilities, and families with low income in grades 3-5 and 6-8.

## F) Percent Exceeding Standard on State Tests for High-Bar Comparable Districts


13



Percent of students exceeding standard in grades 3-5 and 6-8 in English language arts and math from 2015 through 2019, and grades 5 and 8 on the science tests from 2018 and 2019.

## G) Percent Meeting and Exceeding Standard on High School Tests for High-Bar Comparable Districts


14



Percent of students in grade 10 meeting and exceeding standard in English language arts and math in 2018 and 2019. Also shows proficiency rates for Hispanic students, students with disabilities, and from low income families.

## H) Achievement Gaps on State Tests for High-Bar Comparable Districts


16



Differences in proficiency rates between student subgroups on English language arts, math and science state tests. Data show proficiency gaps for students from low income families and not; students with disabilities and without, and the gap between Hispanic and white student proficiency.

## I) Proficiency and Growth Percentiles for Bellingham Schools and Student Groups

21



Scatter plots of all Bellingham Public Schools students overall proficiency versus the median student growth percentile. Charts show English language arts and math by student groups and for all students by school.

# 1) Proficiency Rates for States in the Smarter Balanced Assessment Consortium


## English Language Arts Smarter Balanced Proficiency Ranked by 2019 Rates

	Grade 3				Grade 4				Grade 5				Grade 6				Grade 7				Grade 8								
	2016	2017	2018	2019	2016	2017	2018	2019	2016	2017	2018	2019	2016	2017	2018	2019	2016	2017	2018	2019	2016	2017	2018	2019					
Washington	54	53	56	55	Washington	57	55	57	57	Bellingham	67	60	59	61	Washington	57	56	56	57	Bellingham	70	70	66	65	Bellingham	73	66	71	65
Connecticut	54	52	53	54	New Hampshire	57	56	54	55	Washington	60	59	59	60	Bellingham	65	62	59	56	Washington	59	60	60	61	Washington	60	59	59	58
Bellingham	56	49	48	53	Connecticut	56	54	55	55	Connecticut	59	56	58	58	New Hampshire	59	57	55	56	Idaho	53	54	54	58	Connecticut	56	54	56	56
Hawaii	49	49	53	53	Delaware	56	54	55	54	New Hampshire	63	61	60	57	Connecticut	55	54	54	55	Connecticut	55	55	55	56	Idaho	54	52	54	54
New Hampshire	56	54	54	52	Hawaii	50	49	51	52	Hawaii	56	54	56	57	Idaho	51	51	53	55	New Hampshire	62	63	59	56	Oregon	57	55	56	53
Delaware	54	52	52	51	Idaho	50	48	51	52	Delaware	60	60	58	57	Hawaii	52	50	53	53	South Dakota	52	53	48	55	South Dakota	52	49	48	53
Idaho	49	47	50	50	Bellingham	62	55	56	51	Idaho	54	54	55	57	Delaware	52	52	52	52	Oregon	56	56	57	55	New Hampshire	62	58	58	53
South Dakota	50	48	55	50	South Dakota	50	49	49	51	South Dakota	50	51	42	55	South Dakota	51	49	45	52	Delaware	53	54	54	55	Delaware	54	53	53	52
California	43	44	48	49	California	44	45	49	49	Oregon	57	53	55	54	Oregon	53	52	52	52	Hawaii	47	50	52	53	Hawaii	49	48	55	52
Montana	49	49	50	48	Nevada	47	46	49	49	Montana	37	54	53	54	Montana	39	49	51	51	Montana	41	53	51	52	Indiana	NA	NA	NA	51
Oregon	47	45	47	47	Oregon	50	48	50	49	Nevada	51	50	50	52	California	48	47	48	48	California	48	49	50	51	California	48	49	49	49
Nevada	46	45	46	46	Montana	44	50	49	47	California	49	47	49	52	Indiana	NA	NA	NA	48	Nevada	47	47	47	50	Montana	36	48	49	48
Indiana	NA	NA	NA	46	Michigan	46	44	45	46	Indiana	NA	NA	NA	47	Nevada	42	43	44	46	Indiana	NA	NA	NA	50	Nevada	47	46	48	48
Michigan	46	44	44	45	Indiana	NA	NA	NA	46	Michigan	51	51	47	46	Michigan	45	44	41	42	Michigan	47	45	43	43	Michigan	49	48	43	NA
Vermont	53	49	50	NA	Vermont	52	49	53	NA	Vermont	57	55	55	NA	Vermont	55	52	53	NA	Vermont	57	55	57	NA	Vermont	58	55	57	NA


## Math Smarter Balanced Proficiency Ranked by 2019 Rates

	Grade 3				Grade 4				Grade 5				Grade 6				Grade 7				Grade 8								
	2016	2017	2018	2019	2016	2017	2018	2019	2016	2017	2018	2019	2016	2017	2018	2019	2016	2017	2018	2019	2016	2017	2018	2019					
Indiana	NA	NA	NA	59	Washington	55	54	54	54	Washington	49	49	49	48	New Hampshire	47	47	47	47	Bellingham	60	59	55	53	Bellingham	61	53	53	46
Washington	59	58	58	58	Indiana	NA	NA	NA	54	Indiana	NA	NA	NA	48	Washington	48	48	48	47	Washington	50	50	49	49	Washington	48	48	48	46
New Hampshire	57	55	53	57	Connecticut	48	50	51	53	Connecticut	41	43	45	47	Indiana	NA	NA	NA	46	New Hampshire	52	50	48	47	New Hampshire	47	45	46	45
Hawaii	54	53	55	56	New Hampshire	51	51	53	52	Idaho	40	42	43	45	Connecticut	41	44	44	45	South Dakota	42	45	51	47	South Dakota	42	42	56	45
Connecticut	53	53	54	55	Delaware	51	50	51	51	Delaware	42	44	43	44	Bellingham	52	49	49	43	Connecticut	42	43	44	46	Connecticut	40	42	43	44
South Dakota	54	54	54	53	South Dakota	48	50	51	50	Hawaii	42	43	43	44	Idaho	40	40	44	43	Idaho	42	42	44	46	Idaho	39	39	41	41
Delaware	55	53	54	53	Idaho	47	47	48	50	New Hampshire	48	46	45	44	South Dakota	41	42	52	42	Indiana	NA	NA	NA	42	Oregon	42	41	41	38
Idaho	52	50	52	53	Hawaii	47	49	48	49	Bellingham	56	49	47	42	Hawaii	40	42	42	41	Montana	52	40	39	42	Delaware	38	38	39	38
California	46	47	49	50	Montana	48	45	45	45	South Dakota	38	42	50	41	Montana	52	38	39	39	Delaware	40	41	39	41	Indiana	NA	NA	NA	38
Montana	48	48	49	49	California	38	40	43	45	Montana	49	40	40	40	California	35	36	38	39	Oregon	44	42	42	40	Hawaii	38	38	38	38
Bellingham	57	54	48	48	Bellingham	61	59	51	44	California	33	34	36	38	Delaware	37	41	40	38	Hawaii	37	37	37	38	California	36	36	37	37
Nevada	45	48	48	48	Nevada	38	41	42	44	Oregon	40	39	40	38	Oregon	39	40	38	37	California	36	37	37	38	Montana	51	36	37	36
Michigan	45	47	46	47	Oregon	44	43	43	43	Nevada	32	34	36	37	Michigan	33	34	35	35	California	35	36	36	36	Nevada	18	18	30	30
Oregon	48	46	46	46	Michigan	44	42	42	42	Michigan	34	35	34	35	Nevada	30	30	32	34	Nevada	29	29	32	32	Michigan	33	34	33	NA
Vermont	55	52	52	NA	Vermont	49	47	49	NA	Vermont	42	42	42	NA	Vermont	40	39	41	NA	Vermont	45	44	44	NA	Vermont	43	41	43	NA


B) Proficiency on State Tests for All Comparable Districts  
 2019 English Language Arts - Percent Meeting Standard in Grades 3-8 and 10



B) Proficiency on State Tests for All Comparable Districts  
 2019 Math - Percent Meeting Standard in Grades 3-8 and 10




B) Proficiency on State Tests for All Comparable Districts  
 2019 Science - Percent Meeting Standard in Grades 5 and 8




### C) Proficiency Rates by Class Cohort on State Tests

#### English Language Art Proficiency Bellingham Public Schools and Washington State




#### Mathematics Proficiency Bellingham Public Schools and Washington State




D) Proficiency on State Tests for Comparable Districts based on Free/Reduced Price Meal Participation

2019 English Language Arts for Grades 3-8 and 10 Ranked by Low Income




2019 Mathematics for Grades 3-8 and 10 Ranked by Low Income




D) Proficiency on State Tests for Comparable Districts based on Free/Reduced Price Meal Participation

2019 English Language Arts for Grades 3-8 and 10 Ranked by Proficiency




2019 Mathematics for Grades 3-8 and 10 Ranked by Proficiency






## E) Percent Meeting Standard on State Tests for High-Bar Comparable Districts

### All Students Proficient in Grades 3-5




### All Students Proficient in Grades 6-8




## E) Percent Meeting Standard on State Tests for High-Bar Comparable Districts

### Hispanic/Latinx Proficient in Grades 3-5




### Hispanic/Latinx Proficient in Grades 6-8




## E) Percent Meeting Standard on State Tests for High-Bar Comparable Districts

### English Learners Proficient in Grades 3-5





### English Learners Proficient in Grades 6-8



## E) Percent Meeting Standard on State Tests for High-Bar Comparable Districts


### Students with Disabilities Proficient in Grades 3-5

### Students with Disabilities Proficient in Grades 6-8




## E) Percent Meeting Standard on State Tests for High-Bar Comparable Districts

### Low Income Proficient in Grades 3-5





### Low Income Proficient in Grades 6-8



## F) Percent Exceeding Standard on State Tests for High-Bar Comparable Districts

### All Students Exceeding in Grades 3-5



### All Students Exceeding in Grades 6-8



G) Percent Meeting and Exceeding Standard on High School Tests for High-Bar Comparable Districts

English Language Arts



Mathematics



G) Percent Meeting and Exceeding Standard on High School Tests for High-Bar Comparable Districts

Meeting Standard in English Language Arts


Meeting Standard in Mathematics






## H) Achievement Gaps on State Tests for High-Bar Comparable Districts

### Grades 3-5 Proficiency Gaps by Income




### Grades 6-8 Proficiency Gaps by Income




## H) Achievement Gaps on State Tests for High-Bar Comparable Districts

### Grades 3-5 Proficiency Gaps by Special Education




### Grades 6-8 Proficiency Gaps by Special Education




## H) Achievement Gaps on State Tests for High-Bar Comparable Districts

### Grades 3-5 Proficiency Gaps by Race/Ethnicity




### Grades 6-8 Proficiency Gaps by Race/Ethnicity




## H) Achievement Gaps on State Tests for High-Bar Comparable Districts

### Grade 10 Proficiency Gaps by Income




### Grade 10 Proficiency Gaps by Special Education





## H) Achievement Gaps on State Tests for High-Bar Comparable Districts

### Grade 10 Proficiency Gaps by Race/Ethnicity




# I) Proficiency and Growth Percentiles For Bellingham Schools and Student Groups



# I) Proficiency and Growth Percentiles For Bellingham Schools and Student Groups

2019 English Language Arts  
All Students by School



2019 Mathematics  
All Students by School

